

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/521,808	03/09/2000	Isidore I. Lamke	7366	2840
1688 7	1590 11/20/2002			_
POLSTER, LIEDER, WOODRUFF & LUCCHESI			EXAMINER	
763 SOUTH NEW BALLAS ROAD ST. LOUIS, MO 63141-8750			TON, ANABEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2875	
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1,	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)			
Offic Action Summers	09/521,809	HURLEY ET AL.			
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Anabel M Ton	2875			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status (1) The second of the s					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 S</u>					
,	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-17 and 24-27 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 24-27</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/521,809

Art Unit: 2875

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-17 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roney et al and further in view of Vilanilam et al (5,821,695).
- 3. Roney discloses the claimed invention except for a mold in place lens material encapsulating the circuit board and light emitting diodes to define a solid body formed of a predetermined shape. Vilanilam et al discloses an LED lighting device wherein an encapsulating material fills the spaces within the chamber holding the LED and the respective circuit board. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement a material encapsulating a circuit board and light emitting diodes to define a solid body of a predetermined shape within an LED lighting device since as taught by Vilanilam et al so as to provide for an impact resistant lighting device among other benefits as taught by Vilanilam et al.
- The LED's are arranged in rows and columns (Fig 1);
- 2. With regards to at least one of the rows of LEDs emits light having a color different from at least another row of LEDs, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have rows of LED's having different colors since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art

Application/Control Number: 09/521,809

Art Unit: 2875

to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416. (See cited prior art Deese)

- 3. At least one opening is formed in it for permitting attachment of the lamp assembly to another structure (fig 2, (24,22);
- 4. The electrical connection is formed integrally with the circuit board (Figs 3 and 6);
- 5. The material has a color associated with it;
- 6. With regards to the color of the material being red it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have color the material red since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

## Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Deese.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anabel M Ton whose telephone number is (703) 305-1084. The examiner can normally be reached on 08:00-16:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (703) 305-4939. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

"Application/Control Number: 09/521,809

Art Unit: 2875

305-3431 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Anabel M Ton Examiner Art Unit 2875

AMT November 17, 2002

Sandra O'Shea
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800